2 ascertain the truth in any given situation. 3 You as the jurors and I as the Court 4 have a great responsibility to see that 5 a just result is reached both on the law 6 7 and on the facts. We have now arrived at that phase of 8 the work where you will be instructed on 9 the law and then retire to your final 10 11 deliberations. You will find that this charge is 12 divided into two principal parts. First 13 1.4 is the general statement of the law which is applicable to jury trials in a 15 criminal case. Second is a statement on 16 the law which is particularly applicable 17 to the crimes charged in the indictment. 18 On the other hand and with equal 19 20 emphasis I charge you that you are bound to accept the law of the case as it is 21 22 given to you by the Court. 23 After you have determined the 24 questions of fact, apply the law as

1

25

Charge

charged by the Court and render a

Charge

verdict based upon the facts as you have decided them and under the law as charged by the Court.

Now, during the course of the trial incidents may have occurred which you were instructed to disregard and dismiss from your minds. And at times questions were asked as to which objections were posed and sustained. Whether these matters were trivial or consequential is of no concern here for they are not in the case. You must not be influenced by them nor should you draw any inference with respect to any of them. Decide the case solely upon the competent evidence before you and determine the issues on what you believe to be the credible, believable evidence.

During the course of the trial I might have made certain rulings as to objections made by the People or by the defendant. Such rulings have been on matters of law only and are not to be taken by you as any indication of my

Charge opinion of the guilt or innocence of the 2 defendant. 3 I have endeavored to preside here 4 impartially without influencing you in 5 your determination of the facts. 6 It is for you to say what the facts 7 in the case are and whether the 8 defendant is to be convicted or 9 acquitted. 10 17 12 13 14

You should not speculate on my reasons for rulings. They were made pursuant to the rules of evidence as I understand them to be and do not reflect any opinion that I may have about this My opinion is immaterial since you are the triers of the fact and to decide the guilt or the innocence.

Now, you have it in your power to draw proper, reasonable and just inferences from the testimony and from the exhibits in evidence after carefully analyzing, weighing, considering the testimony of each witness who has testified at the trial and all the

15

16

17

18

Charge

exhibits in the case. The defendant is entitled to every inference in his favor which can reasonably be drawn from the evidence or from the lack of evidence.

Where two inferences may be drawn from the evidence, one consistent with guilt and one consistent with innocence, the defendant is entitled to the inference of innocence.

Now, you have to base your verdict upon the evidence alone. Do not be affected by sympathy or other considerations outside of the evidence. Do not be concerned about what the reactions to your verdict may be whether it is popular or unpopular, whether it pleases or displeases anyone or what its effect may be as far as punishment is concerned. Punishment is not your function nor a matter for your concern but a function which the law places upon the Court alone.

Therefore, you the jury may not in determining the issue of guilt or

2.4

2.5

Charge

innocence consider or speculate concerning matters relating to sentence or to punishment.

Now, the argument, remarks and summation of counsel are not in evidence. Neither is what I might have said in regard to the evidence or in my rulings. You must take the evidence from the mouths of the witnesses as you heard them and from the exhibits which have been received in evidence.

You are likewise not to draw any inference from any rulings or instructions of mine as to any of the testimony and you must not infer that I hold any views for or against either the prosecution or the defendant.

I charge you that it is your recollection of the evidence and your determination of the issues of fact which control. Do not hesitate to ask the Court to have testimony read back if you wish to have the exhibit evidence brought into you for your examination.

2

Charge

Likewise, if there is any portion of my charge to you on the law that you do not understand, do not hesitate to ask for me to read it back to you again.

However, if you do have a question, do not indicate to the Court how deliberations are progressing or how you are voting.

Now, in performing your function of determining what the facts are and where the truth lies, it is necessary of course for you to evaluate the testimony of all the witnesses in order to determine their credibility and the weight which you find should be given to their testimony. In order to reach a solution regarding their credibility, that is, the determination of whether or not a person is telling the truth, you should apply all the tests and considerations and use the common sense which you would ordinarily apply in your own affairs and in your every day life in judging the credibility of statements

Charge

made to you and in judging whether or not the person making them is telling the truth.

You may for example consider the apparent interest or lack of interest of any witness in the case. You may consider the bias and prejudice of any witness in the case if he or she has any such bias or prejudice.

You may also consider the appearance, demeanor, conduct and behavior of the witness as he or she sat in the witness stand and testified. You may further consider the opportunities that the witness had to observe the facts about which he or she testified and you may consider the probability or improbability of his or her story in view of all the other evidence and facts or circumstances proven in the trial.

Now, the fundamental duty of the jury in this case is to determine the facts. You are the fact finding body. The law says you are the exclusive

Charge

judges of the facts.

Now, whatever your verdict may be in this case, it must be by an unanimous vote of the jury. In other words, all 12 of you must agree.

Now, if in your deliberations you find that any witness has knowingly and wilfully testified falsely as to any material fact, you are at liberty to disregard his or her entire testimony or you may disregard as much of his or her testimony as you believe was untruthful and accept as much of his or her testimony as you find to have been truthfully given.

Now, the mere fact that an indictment was returned creates no presumption of the defendant's guilt. The indictment is merely an accusation, the mechanics by which an accused is brought to trial. It is without probative force and carries no implication of guilt whatsoever.

Now, in this and in every criminal

б

Charge

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	***************************************
16	
17	
18	
19	***************************************
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

case the accused is presumed to be innocent and that presumption remains with him throughout the trial and unless his guilt is proven beyond a reasonable doubt. That presumption of innocence exists now at this moment and accompanies you to the jury room and only if the evidence which you accept and believe convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty is the presumption discarded and a verdict of guilty returned. Only then is the presumption destroyed.

Now, on a criminal case, the burden of proof to establish the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt rests with the prosecution. This burden remains on the prosecution throughout the trial and never shifts to the defendant.

No defendant is required to prove his innocence. Therefore, before you convict the defendant you must be convinced of his guilt beyond a

2 1

2.5

reasonable doubt.

The rule of law is that the prosecution must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant's guil as to each and every element of the crimes submitted to you by the Court. If this burden is not fulfilled, you must acquit the defendant. If this burden is fulfilled, you must convict the defendant.

Now, our law provides that both the People and the defendant may as a matter of right call and examine witnesses and each party may cross-examine every witness called by the other party.

There is of course no duty upon the defense to call any witnesses since as I have already explained to you it is always incumbent upon the People to prove each and every essential element of the crimes charged beyond a reasonable doubt and this burden never shifts. However, it is not the number of witnesses called which is controlling

Charge

upon your judgment but it is the qual:

of the testimony which must prevail.

Now, what is meant by intent and .w may it be determined?

A person acts intentionally with respect to a resulted conduct described by statute and defined in offense when his conscious objective is to cause such result or to engage in such conduct.

Intent then is a matter of the operation of a person's mind and we are therefore limited to an examination of the external indications of his thinking to determine what he was actually intending at the time of the acts charged.

Now, bear in mind that you must determine intent at the time of the commission of the acts charged but in determining what the intent was you may take into consideration all the facts and circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime including what was said and done and the events leading up to, during and after the event.

Charge

Now, this completes my instruction on the constitutional safeguard or the presumption of innocence and the burd of proof.

ζ,

I now discuss with you the constitutionally mandated standard of proof in all criminal cases, that of proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The standard of proof required by law in every criminal case is proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That standard, however, does not require the People to prove the defendant's guilt beyond all possibility of doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt. It requires the People to establish the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Our law, therefore, requires that before this jury may convict the defendant, each of you must be satisfied that the credible evidence is sufficient to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is in fact

beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is in fact the person who committed the crimes charged. The evidence must also establish beyond a reasonable doubt each and every essential element of the crimes charged as I shall later define such elements.

Now, what does the law mean when it requires proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt? When is a doubt of guilt a reasonable doubt under our law?

A doubt of the defendant's guilt to be a reasonable doubt is one for which a reason can be given. The doubt should be sufficiently clear to you as a juror that you would be capable of giving a reason for your views although you have no obligation to articulate your views. The doubt to be reasonable must therefore arise because of the nature and quality of the evidence in the case or from the lack or insufficiency of the evidence in the case. The doubt to be a

2.0

2.1

2.3

A. 20

reasonable doubt should be one which a reasonable person acting in a manner c this importance would be likely to entertain because of the evidence or because of the lack or insufficiency of the evidence in the case.

A doubt of guilt is not reasonable if instead of being based upon the nature and quality of the evidence or insufficiency of the evidence it is based on some guess or whim or speculation unrelated to the evidence in the case. Also, a doubt of guilt is not a reasonable doubt if it is based merely on sympathy for the defendant or from a mere desire by a juror to avoid a disagreeable duty.

I therefore repeat, a doubt of the defendant's guilt to be a reasonable doubt must either arise from the nature and quality of the evidence in the case or from the lack or insufficiency of the evidence in the case.

Therefore, the first duty of each

1.8

juror is to consider and weigh all the evidence in the case and decide which you believe is credible and worthy of your consideration. The next duty of each juror is to determine whether the juror has in fact a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt as that term is defined in our law.

A reasonable doubt our law says is an actual doubt, one in your mind after you have considered all the evidence in the case. If after doing so you then feel uncertain and not fully convinced of the defendant's guilt and you are also satisfied that in entertaining such a doubt you are acting as a reasonable person should act in a matter of this importance, that is a reasonable doubt of which the defendant is entitled to the benefit.

I repeat, it is the duty of each juror to carefully review, weigh and consider the evidence in the case. If after doing so you find that the People

have not proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as I have defined that term to you, then you must find the defendant not guilty. On the other hand, if you are satisfied that the People have proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as I have defined that term to you, then you must then find the defendant guilty.

Now, the question of the interest of any witness in the outcome of the case and the effect of such interest on his or her testimony is one for your determination as jurors.

Obviously, the defendant who testified at this trial is an interested witness since he will be directly affected by the outcome of the case. That does not mean that he's not told the truth. If the jury automatically disbelieved a defendant, it would make no sense for any defendant ever to testify. His interest is simply one factor you can consider in weighing his

1.

1.3

Charge 1 all of it, some of it or none of it. 2 Your own careful conversation and 3 judgment alone will dictate the weight 4 you give to the testimony of an 5 interested witness. 6 Now, we come to that part of the 7 charge where I will explain to you the 8 material legal principles applicable to 9 the crimes charged in the indictment. 1.0 The following instructions are 1 1 applicable to count one on the verdict 12 sheet. 73 You will be receiving a verdict 14 sheet when you go back which has some 15 instructions on here and I will discuss 16 that with you in just a couple of 17 moments. 18 Now, the first count on the verdict 19 sheet is offering a false instrument for 2.0 filing in the degree first. 21 Section 175.35 of the Penal Law of 2.2 our State insofar as it is applicable in 23

A person is guilty of offering a

24

25

this case reads as follows:

Charge

false instrument for filing in the fir:
degree when knowing that a written
instrument contains a false statement or
false information and with intent to
defraud the State or any political
subdivision thereof he offers or
presents it to a public office or public
servant with the knowledge or belief
that it would be filed with, registered
or recorded in or otherwise become a
part of the records of such public
office or public servant.
to order for you to find the

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the People are required to prove from all of the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following six elements:

One, that on or about November 2, 1992, in the County of Kings, the defendant offered or presented to a public office or public servant, to wit, presented a voter registration form with a false address, a certain item which

was introduce People as Peo I charge Election is a servant. Two, that or presented to wit, a vot According

Charge

was introduced into evidence by the People as People's Exhibit number five.

I charge you that the Board of Election is a public office or public servant.

Two, that what the defendant offered or presented was a written instrument, to wit, a voter registration form.

According to the law, a written instrument means any instrument or article containing written or printed matter or equivalent thereof used for the purpose of reciting, conveying or recording information or constituting a symbol or evidence of value, right, privilege or identification which is capable of being used to the advantage or disadvantage of some person.

Three, that such written instrument contained a false statement or false information, to wit, a false address.

Consider the definition of residence as follows:

According to the law a residence is

A. 27

Charge

21

22

23

2.4

25

that place where a person maintains a fixed, permanent and principal home and to which he wherever temporarily located always intends to return.

Additionally, a candidate who has two residences may choose one to which he has the legitimate, significant and continuing attachment as his residence for purpose of the election law. It is for the candidate to decide which address is to be his voting and campaign address. However, the address chosen by the defendant as his residence must comport with the definition of residence as I have previously given it to you.

Four, that the defendant knew that such written instrument contained false statement or false information.

According to the law, a person knows that a written statement contains a false statement or false information when he is aware that it contains such false statement or false information.

Five, that the defendant offered or

Charge

presented such written instruction to
the Board of Election with the knowled a
or belief that it would be filed with,
registered or recorded in or otherwise
become a part of the records of such
public office or public servant.

Six, that the defendant offered or presented such written instrument to the Board of Election with the intent to defraud the State or a political subdivision of the State.

To defraud means to cheat or deprive another person of property or a thing of value or a right.

According to the law, a person intends to defraud when his conscious aim or objective is fraud.

Therefore, with respect to this count of the indictment, if you find that the People have proven to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt each of these six elements as I have just explained them, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of

Charge

offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree.

On the other hand, if you find the People have failed to prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of these six elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree.

You then go to count two and those instructions are on your verdict sheet.

The following instructions are applicable to count two on the verdict sheet, false registration.

Section 17-104 subdivision four of the Election Law of our state insofar as it is applicable to this case reads as follows:

A person is guilty of false registration when he knowingly gives a false residence with the election district when registering as an elector.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, the

2 3

Charge

People are required to prove from all the evidence in the case beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following four elements:

One, that on or about November 2, 1992, in the County of Kings the defendant registered as an elector in the 20th election district.

An elector is one who has a right to vote in an election. The boundaries of the 20th election district has been described for you and are set out in the map identified as People's Exhibits 1 and 2.

That the residence provided by the defendant when he so registered was 553 47th Street Brooklyn, New York, Kings County.

According to the law, a residence is that place where a person maintains a fixed, permanent and principal home and to which he wherever temporarily located always intends to return.

Additionally, a candidate who has

Charge two residences may

two residences may choose one to which he has the legitimate, significant and continuing attachment as his residence for purpose of election law.

It is for the candidate to decide which address is to be his voting and campaign address. However, the address chosen by the defendant as his residence must comport with the definition of residence as I have previously given it to you.

Three, that at the time the defendant so registered his residence was not 553 47th Street Brooklyn, New York, Kings County.

Four, that the defendant knowingly provided a false residence when registering.

According to the law, a person knowingly provides false residence when he was aware that the residence being provided was not his residence.

Before you may reach a verdict of guilty, each of you after careful

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Я

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

7 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

8 E

19

20

21

22

23

Charge

American de La Composition della composition del	consideration of the evidence in the
Overcomb rough	case must be satisfied that the
	prosecution has proved beyond a
	reasonable doubt each and every element
	of the crime of false registration.
	Therefore, with respect to this

count of the indictment, if you find that the People have proved to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt each of these four elements as I just explained them, you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of false registration. On the other hand, if you find that the People have failed to prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of these four elements, you must find the defendant not guilty of false registration.

You go to count three. Once again that instruction is right on your verdict sheet.

The following instructions are applicable to count three on the verdict

24

1	Charge
2	sheet, illegal voting.
3	Section 17.132 subdivision three of
4	the election law of our State insofar as
5	it's applicable to this case reads as
6	follows:
7	A person is guilty of illegal voting
8	when he votes at an election in an
9	election district or from a place where
10	he does not reside.
11	In order for you to find the
12	defendant guilty of this crime, the
13	People are required to prove from all
14	the evidence in the case beyond a
15	reasonable doubt each of the following
16	two elements:
17	One, that on or about November 3,
18	1993, in the County of Kings the
19	defendant voted from an address he did
20	not reside.
21	Two, that when the defendant voted
22	on or about November 3, 1993, he did not
23	reside within the approximate 51st
24	assembly and 20th election district.
25	According to the law a residence is

Charge 1 that place where a person maintains a fixed, permanent and principal home and 3 to which he wherever temporarily located 4 always intends to return. 5 Additionally, a candidate who has 6 two residences may choose one to which 7 he has a legitimate, significant and 8 . continuing attachment as his residence 9 for purposes of the Election Law. 10 It is for the candidate to decide 1.1 which address is to be his voting and 12 campaign address. However, the address 13 chosen by the defendant as his residence 14 must comport with the definition of 15 residence as I have previously given it 16 to you. 17 Before you may reach a verdict of 18 guilty, each of you after careful 19 consideration of the evidence in this 20 case must be satisfied that the 21 prosecution had proven beyond a 22

2

23

24

25

of the crime of illegal voting. Therefore, with respect to this

reasonable doubt each and every element

1	Charge
2	count of the indictment, if you find
3	that the People have proven to your
4	satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt
5	each of these two elements as I've just
6	explained them, you must find the
7	defendant guilty of the crime of illegal
8	voting.
9	On the other hand, if you find that
10	the People have failed to prove to your
11	satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt
12	any one or more of these two elements,
13	you must find the defendant not guilty
14	of illegal voting.
15	Now, the following instructions are
16	applicable to count four on the verdict
17	sheet.
18	Consider the instructions on illegal
19	voting as I have previously given to
20	you.
21	This count refers to the conduct
22	alleged on May 4, 1993.
23	You then go to count five on the
24	verdict sheet.
25	The following instructions are

1	Charge
2	applicable to count five on the verdict
3	sheet.
4	Consider the instructions on illegal
5	voting as I have previously given to
6	you. This counts refers to the conduct
7	alleged on December 14, 1993.
8	Then you go to count six on the
9	verdict sheet.
LO	The following instructions are
11	applicable to count six on the verdict
12	sheet.
13	Consider the instructions on illegal
14	voting as I have previously given to
15	you. This counts refers to the conduct
16	alleged on December 2, 1993.
17	You go to count seven on the verdict
18	sheet.
19	The following instructions are
20	applicable to count seven on the verdict
21	sheet.
22	Consider the instructions on illegal
23	voting as I have previously given to
2 4	you. This count refers to conduct
25	alleged on November 2, 1993.

2

4

5

Charge

Then you stop and you report your verdict. 3

> As you begin your deliberations, remember that it is your recollection of the testimony that controls.

> If your recollection differs from the evidentiary reference of the attorneys in their summation or from my reference in this charge, disregard what we have said and use your own recollection.

> If during your deliberation your recollection of any part of the testimony fails or if you disagree among yourselves about what a witness said, you can ask to have that part of the testimony read back to you. You may also ask to inspect any exhibit that is in evidence.

Similarly, if you are having any doubts about my instruction on any point of law, you can ask me to clarify the law further or you can have that part of my charge reread. Your foreperson will

Charge

submit these requests to me in writing.

He will also tally the votes and report

your verdict to the Court when you reach

a verdict but remember that each of you

has an equal voice in your

deliberations.

Now, you may find in your deliberations that you differ among yourselves. Make every effort to harmonize your various views and to come to an agreement about the facts in this particular case.

Don't go into the jury room with a closed mind so that you refuse to listen to the opinion of other jurors or to discuss the evidence with other jurors. You should always be open to reason and willing to listen to the opinion of others. However, you have a right, if you believe you are right, to stick to your arguments and to your conclusions.

Make every effort consistent with your conscious and the evidence in this case to harmonize your views with the

2.4

1.0

1 1

1.2

Charge

views of your fellow jurors.

In deciding this case, use common sense and good judgment and be impartial and fair in your judgment. Don't let sympathy or prejudice sway your minds. Decide this case on the evidence and according to the law as I have given it to you.

Now, I have prepared a jury verdict sheet which you will use in your deliberations and in rendering your verdict. You will separately report your decision on each count submitted for your consideration. Your verdict will be either guilty or not guilty on each count and must be unanimous with respect to each count submitted in order to constitute a verdict.

As I've said before, you must not infer from your verdict sheet or from anything I might have said in this charge that I have any opinion in this case or that the Court wants any particular verdict. You will have done

1	Charge
2	your duty if you give this case your
3	careful, unbiased consideration
4	regardless of the verdict.
5	If you have any questions, your
6	foreperson should submit them in
7	writing.
8	If you wish to have any part of the
9	charge or the testimony re-read, your
10	foreperson should also make that request
11	in writing specifying the portion you
12	want to have re-read.
13	Now, this completes my final
14	instruction.
15	At this particular point, however,
16	it's necessary for me to meet for the
17	Court to briefly meet and consult with
18	the attorneys on matters of law related
19	to the Court's final instructions and we
20	will be right back.
21	(The following sidebar discussion
22	was held outside the presence of the
23	jury)
24	THE COURT: Okay. Any request by
25	the District Attorney?